HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Bail Application No.1072 of 2006

CRIMINAL SIDE

Rohtash S/o Genda R/o Bharamnpur,
P.S. Kotwali Roorkee, District
Haridwar .....Accused/Applicant

Versus

State of Uttaranchal ..... Opposite Party

Case Crime No. 88 of 2006
Under Section 2/3 Gangster and Anti Social Activities (Prevention) Act, P.S.
P.S. Kotwali, Roorkee, District Haridwar

Dated: 29.11.2006

By the Court

Hon'ble Rajesh Tandon J.

Heard Sri Sanjeev Singh, Advocate for the applicant and the A.G.A. for the prosecution.

Accused applicant has applied for bail in case crime No. 88 of 2006 under sections2/3 Gangster and Anti Social Activities (Prevention) Act, P.S. Kotwali Roorkee, District Haridwar.

Counsel for the accused has submitted that the accused has been released on bail in Case Crime No. 34/2006 under Section 395/412 I.P.C. P.S. Kotwali Roorkee, case crime No. 321/2006 under sections 395/412 I.P.C. and case crime No.

480/2005 under Sections 395/412 I.P.C. Learned A.G.A. has not controverted this fact either in the counter affidavit or before the Court.

Without expressing anything on the merits of the case in my opinion, the accused is entitled to bail.

Let the accused applicant be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Magistrate concerned.

Rajesh Tandon, J.

Dated: 29.11.2006
*Dhyani